

Evaluation of Single and Combination Chemotherapy Agents

by Godeliva Adriani Hendra

Submission date: 28-Dec-2022 07:34PM (UTC-0600)

Submission ID: 1987190397

File name: 21570-Article_Text-74279-80843-18-20221212.pdf (273.43K)

Word count: 3358

Character count: 18048

Evaluation of Single and Combination Chemotherapy Agents in Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer

Martanty Aditya¹, Godeliva Adriani Hendra^{1*}, Suhul Raos Kumawula Ing Gustyas¹

¹Program Studi Farmasi, Fakultas Sains dan Teknologi, Universitas Ma Chung, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: godeliva.adriani@machung.ac.id

Abstract

Background: There are several ways to treat breast cancer, one of which is administering chemotherapy agents. Chemotherapy agents have activity in inhibiting the cell cycle. That will affect the effectiveness of therapy and the side effects of chemotherapy agents.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate single and combination chemotherapy agent therapeutic efficacy and side effects

Method: The design of this study used an observational cohort study with retrospective data collection from January to December 2019. Patients obtained from medical records were diagnosed with Ca mammae metastatic stage at Panti Nirmala Hospital, Malang. The effectiveness of chemotherapy agent therapy was seen from the CEA and CA 15-3 (examinations were carried out in the first and third cycles) and analyzed using the Wilcoxon and U-Mann Whitney tests. Side effects of chemotherapy agents were analyzed descriptively.

Results: Analysis of the Wilcoxon test showed differences between the two groups of chemotherapeutic agents in CEA and CA 15-3 ($p < 0.05$). U-Mann Whitney test analysis showed no difference after administration of the two groups of chemotherapy agents at CEA ($p > 0.05$). However, there was a difference in CA 15-3 ($p < 0.05$). Both chemotherapy agents' most common side effects were pain, nausea/vomiting, and alopecia.

Conclusion: Patients who received combination chemotherapy agents had lower CA 15-3 levels than single chemotherapy agents.

Keywords: Ca Mammae Metastatic Stage, CEA, CA 15-3, Side Effects of Chemotherapy Agents

1. Introduction

Metastatic stage breast cancer is one of the highest malignancies among other types of cancer in Indonesia and globally, with an increasing incidence (Kemenkes, 2019; Yang *et al.*, 2017). As the implementation, metastatic breast cancer patients need to be monitored continuously to increase the survival rate. One way to assess the therapeutic effect is by measuring tumor biomarkers (McDonald *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2017). Reference tumor biomarkers are widely used to measure response to treatment, early recurrence, and predict prognosis. The biomarkers that are widely referenced to assess the effectiveness of therapy are carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) which is a protein involved in cell adhesion, and cancer antigen (CA) 15-3 or MUC-1, which represents the mucin sequence in cells undergoing malignancy (Hosseini *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2017). CEA and CA 15-3 are less sensitive for early detection but can predict positive treatment responses (Yang *et al.*, 2017). Serum levels of CEA and CA 15-3 are also widely used to expect a response to therapy in metastatic breast cancer (Geng *et al.*, 2015).

46 In addition to the effectiveness of therapy, the side effects of chemotherapy agents
47 also need to be monitored. Monitoring the side effects of chemotherapy agents aims to
48 improve the patient's quality of life. Many reports have stated the side effects induced by
49 chemotherapeutic agents, including spinal cord suppression, neuropathy, gastrointestinal
50 disorders, hair loss, weakness, and skin disorders (Chan & Ismail, 2014). In Indonesia, there
51 have not been many studies that have looked at the differences in biomarkers and the
52 frequency of possible side effects that occur with single chemotherapy agents compared to
53 combinations. This background underlies the need for a study to determine the differences
54 in biomarkers of CEA and CA 15-3 and the side effects of using a single agent compared to a
55 combination that may occur.

56

57 **2. Methods**

58 The design of this study used an observational cohort study with retrospective data
59 collection. Data was collected through medical records and laboratory results from January
60 to December 2019. This research has passed ethical test No.E.5.a/209/KEPK-
61 UMM/VIII/2020. The study location was at Panti Nirmala Hospital Malang and carried out
62 in the Medical Record Unit and inpatient ward. The design of this study used an
63 observational cohort study with retrospective data collection. Data were taken through
64 medical records and laboratory results from January to December 2019. This research has
65 passed ethical test No.E.5.a/209/KEPK-UMM/VIII/2020.

66

67 *2.1 Sampling and sampling techniques*

68 The population of this study were patients diagnosed with breast cancer in the
69 metastatic stage who were hospitalized at Panti Nirmala Hospital and received
70 chemotherapy agents. The study sample was breast cancer patients with metastases who
71 entered the inclusion criteria. The sampling technique used in this study was the total
72 sampling technique, where all population members were sampled. There were 45 samples
73 from the medical record unit. 19 patients received single chemotherapy agents and 26
74 received combination chemotherapy agents.

75

76

77 *2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria*

78 The inclusion criteria included metastatic breast cancer patients who received single
79 and combination chemotherapy agents; patient data was taken from the patient medical
80 record viewed from biomarkers Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) and Cancer Antigen (CA)

81 15-3. Exclusion criteria were patients who passed away and patients who did not have
82 complete laboratory data when administering chemotherapy agents.

83

84 2.2 Data collection

85 The data collected in this study were in the form of patient characteristics data which
86 included: age, education, employment status, marital status, comorbidities, and history of
87 hormonal contraceptive use. The data on the effectiveness of therapy was seen from the
88 biomarkers of CEA and CA 15-3. Data on side effects were seen from using single and
89 combination chemotherapy agents. The single chemotherapy agents used were Carboplatin,
90 Navelbin, and Zometa/Zolenic. Combination chemotherapy agents consist of two
91 chemotherapeutic agents and three chemotherapeutic agents. Two chemotherapeutic
92 agents include Carboplatin+Docetaxel, Cisplatin+Docetaxel, and Cisplatin+Paclitaxel. The
93 three chemotherapy agents were Carboplatin+Docetaxel+Fluorouracil and
94 Cyclophosphamide+Epirubicin+Fluorouracil.

95

96 2.3 Data analysis

97 The data of this study were analyzed using the open-source software R. The test that
98 looked at the relationship between chemotherapy agents and the characteristics of the
99 respondents used the Chi-Square test. A test that compares therapeutic efficacy as
100 measured by biomarkers CEA and CA 15-3 uses the U-Mann Whitney test. Side effect data
101 were analyzed descriptively as a percentage of events.

102

103 3. Result and discussion

104 Characteristics of metastatic breast cancer patients in the group receiving single or
105 combination chemotherapy agents showed no relationship ($p>0.05$). Patient characteristics
106 data are briefly presented in Table 1.

107

108

109

Table 1. Patient characteristics data

Characteristic	Single Chemotherapy* (n=19)	Combination Chemotherapy** (n=26)	p-value
Age (year)			
26-45	3 (15.8)	5 (19.23)	0.917
46-65	14 (73.68)	19 (73.07)	
66-85	2 (10.53)	2 (7.69)	
Mean 52 tahun			
Education			
Elementary	5(26.31)	9 (34.61)	0.844

Characteristic	Single Chemotherapy* (n=19)	Combination Chemotherapy** (n=26)	p-value
Junior High School	0	1 (3.85)	
Senior High Scholl	6 (31.58)	7 (26.92)	
Associate Degree	3 (15.79)	3 (11.54)	
Bachelor Degree	2 (10.53)	6 (23.08)	
Master Degree	3 (15.79)	0	
Comorbidities			
Yes	6 (31.58)	6 (23.08)	0.767
No	13 (68.42)	20 (76.92)	
Occupation			
Yes	6 (31.58)	12 (46.15)	0.498
No	13 (68.42)	14 (53.85)	
Married Status			
Married	15 (78.95)	18 (69.23)	0.308
Single	0	3 (11.54)	
Divorce	4 (21.05)	5 (19.23)	
Hormonal History			
Contraception Hormonal	16 (84.21)	17 (65.38)	0.171
Contraception Non-Hormonal	1 (5.26)	7 (26.92)	
Hormonal	2 (10.53)	2 (7.69)	
No			
Biomarker CEA			
Normal	1 (5)	1 (3.85)	1
Abnormal	18 (95)		
Biomarker CA 15-3			
Normal	1 (5)	1 (3.85)	1
Abnormal	18 (95)	25 (96.15)	

110 Information:

111 *Carboplatin, Navelbin, Zometa/Zolenic

112 **Combination of two therapy agents: carboplatin+docetaxel, cisplatin+docetaxel,
113 cisplatin+paclitaxel; combination of three therapy agent: carboplatin+docetaxel+fluorouracil,
114 cychlophosphamide+epirubicin+fluorouracil

115

116

117 Patients were dominated by 46-65 years, had no comorbidities, married status, and
118 previously used hormonal family planning. Furthermore, the analysis of differences in CEA
119 and CA15-3 biomarkers between those receiving single and combined chemotherapy
120 agents can be seen in Table 2. There are differences in CA15-3 biomarkers after
121 administration of single and combination chemotherapy agents. However, there was no
122 difference in the CEA biomarker after administering single chemotherapy agents (mean CEA
123 = 9.42 ng/ml) and combination chemotherapy agents (mean CEA = 9.70 ng/ml). CA15-3
124 levels of chemotherapeutic agents combinations had lower concentrations (mean CA15-3 =
125 105.60 U/ml) than single chemotherapy agents (mean CA15-3 = 129.48 U/ml).

126

127

128

129

Table 2. Different Tests of single and combination chemotherapy agents

Biomarker	<i>W</i>	<i>p-value*</i>
CEA	256	0.422
CA 15-3	325.5	0.036

Administration of single and combined chemotherapeutic agents decreased CA 15-3 biomarkers, but not CEA. That showed a significant response to the effectiveness of therapy after chemotherapy. Parameters of response to chemotherapy agents evaluated through CEA's tumor markers in metastatic breast cancer had CEA levels that increased continuously. That explained that cancer cells did not respond to treatment/relapse after getting treatment. In addition, patients with metastatic breast cancer had high CEA levels. CEA is an insensitive biomarker in breast cancer, so it cannot be used as a screening. This condition caused the need for supporting data in the form of a CA15-3 biomarker. The CA15-3 biomarker aims to determine the prognosis of metastatic breast cancer. Monitoring response to therapy on CA15-3 was seen from increased levels of CA15-3, which was associated with the high severity of breast cancer (Kabel, 2017).

In a retrospective study, serum CA15-3 correlated with the location of the number of metastases in breast cancer patients but not with CEA. CEA has a higher sensitivity in patients with metastases that spread to other organs. So, it can be concluded that in patients with metastatic breast cancer, the sensitivity of the CA15-3 biomarker is intended for serum tumor markers in the breast. In contrast, the CEA biomarker is designed for sensitivity/marker of metastases in breast cancer patients (Yang *et al.*, 2017). The limitation of the problem in this study was that the sampling of CEA and CA 15-3 biomarker data was only in the first and third cycles.

The side effects data for single chemotherapy agent are represented in Table 3. The most common side effects were nausea/vomiting, alopecia, and pain. Nausea/vomiting was caused by chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). The risk of developing CINV was higher with the short-term IV infusion route than with long-term or oral preparations. Neurotransmitters that play a role in activating CINV are 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT₂, 5-HT₃, and 5-HT₄), dopamine (D₂), histamine (H₁), and acetylcholine (ACh). Receptors of the neurotransmitter 5-HT and dopamine were abundant in the intestinal mucosa and activated when the neurotransmitter is released, causing nausea and vomiting. Chemotherapy agents given to patients will also quickly bind to the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) in the brain close to the vomiting center (vomiting center), resulting in nausea and vomiting (Antonarakis & Hain, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2016).

163
164

Table 3. Descriptive data side effects of single chemotherapy agents

Single Chemotherapy Agent (n=19 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Carboplatin 400mg inj (n=1)	Nausea/vomiting and alopecia	1 (100)
Navelbin 40mg inj (n=15)	Pain	8 (53.33)
	Extravasation	1 (6.67)
	Nauseous/vomiting	12 (80)
	Short of breath	1 (6.67)
	Alopecia	12 (80)
Zometa/Zolenic 4mg (n=3)	Pain	2 (66.67)
	Nauseous/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (33.33)

165
166

The side effects data for combination chemotherapy agents are represented in Table 4. The most common side effects are nausea/vomiting, alopecia, pain, extravasation, shortness of breath. The previous study also showed that the most common side effect was alopecia/chemotherapy-induced alopecia (CIA) (Rossi *et al.*, 2017). The incidence of alopecia was associated with the type of chemotherapeutic agent used in this study. The finding showed that 80% of the incidence occurred in the antimicrotubule group (Vinorelbin, Paclitaxel, Docetaxel, Epirubicin), 60% in alkylators (carboplatin and cisplatin), and 10-50% in antimetabolites (fluorouracil), 60-100% on topoisomerase. Alopecia results from the main target of chemotherapeutic agents, the keratinocyte matrix, which proliferates during the anagen/hair formation phase. The keratinocyte matrix is sensitive to chemotherapeutic agents and causes rapid apoptosis, which affects the anagen phase, namely hair follicle growth, and causes baldness (Haslam & Smart, 2019). However, this baldness is not permanent; where within six months, the hair growth will occur again (Rossi *et al.*, 2017).

180
181
182

Table 4. Descriptive data side effects of combination chemotherapy agents

Combination Chemotherapy Agent (n=26 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Carboplatin 300mg inj + docetaxel 110mg inj (n=3)	Pain	2 (66.67)
	Nausea/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	3 (100)
Carboplatin 300mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=1)	Pain, nausea/vomiting, and Alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 400mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation, pain,nausea/vomiting, and alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj + docetaxel 110mg inj (n=2)	Pain, nausea/vomiting, Alopecia, and short of breath	2 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=4)	Extravasation	1 (25)
	Pain	3 (75)

Combination Chemotherapy Agent (n=26 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Cisplatin 50mg inj + docetaxel 100mg inj (n=4)	Extravasation	1 (36.36)
	Pain	2 (50)
	Nausea/vomiting	4 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (25)
Carboplatin 60 mg inj + docetaxel 120 mg inj (n=3)	Pain	3 (100)
	Nausea/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (50)
Cisplatin 50 mg inj + paclitaxel 200 mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation and nausea/vomiting	1 (100)
Cisplatin 60 mg inj + paclitaxel 230 mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation, nausea/vomiting and alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj, belotaxel 120 mg inj* + curacil 500 mg inj* (n=5)	Extravasation	1 (20)
	Pain	5 (100)
	Nausea/vomiting	5 (100)
	Short of breath	1 (20)
	alopecia	4 (80)
Cyclovid 600 mg inj*, epirubicin 500 mg inj + curacil 500 mg inj* (n=1)	Extravasation, pain, nausea/vomiting, and alopecia	1 (100)

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

The subsequent most common side effect was a painful condition, often referred to as chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). Peripheral neuropathy induced by platinum-based drugs (carboplatin and cisplatin) causes glial cell activation increasing the inflammatory pro-cytokines that increase nociceptor sensitivity and hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons. The effects, together with ROS (reactive oxygen species), damage the blood-brain barrier and leads to the development of neuroinflammation, damage to mitochondria, and increases ROS, which causes damage to enzymes, proteins, and lipids in neurons as well as dysregulation of calcium homeostasis which induces apoptotic changes in peripheral nerves. Peripheral neuropathy in using antimicrotubule (Vinorelbin, Paclitaxel, Docetaxel, Epirubicin) interferes with axonal transport. It causes degeneration of distal nerve segments, alters ion channel activity and hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons, and modifies the expression and function of Na⁺, K⁺ ion channels. Activation of microglia leads to the release and increase of pro-inflammatory cytokines. This process leads to nociceptor sensitivity and the development of neuroinflammation (Zajączkowska *et al.*, 2019).

204 **4. Conclusion**

205 The achievement in this study was that the CA15-3 biomarker in combination
206 chemotherapy agents has lower levels than single chemotherapy agents. However, CEA
207 levels did not give a significant change in single and combined agents. Both chemotherapy
208 agents' most occurring side effects were nausea/vomiting, alopecia, and pain.

209

210 **Acknowledgment**

211 We would like to thank Panti Nirmala Hospital Malang for assisting the research
212 administration process, Mrs. Suhul Raos, who helped complete the research data, and Mrs.
213 Martanty Aditya, who assisted in preparing this article.

214

215 **References**

- 216 **4**
217 Antonarakis, E. S., & Hain, R. D. (2004). Nausea and vomiting associated with cancer
218 chemotherapy: drug management in theory and in practice. *Arch Dis Child*, 89(9),
219 877-880. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2003.037341>
220 Chan, H. K., & Ismail, S. (2014). Side effects of chemotherapy among cancer patients in a
221 Malaysian General Hospital: experiences, perceptions and informational needs from
222 clinical pharmacists. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, 15(13), 5305-5309.
223 <https://doi.org/10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.13.5305>
224 Geng, B., Liang, M. M., Ye, X. B., & Zhao, W. Y. (2015). Association of CA 15-3 and CEA with
225 clinicopathological parameters in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Mol Clin*
226 *Oncol*, 3(1), 232-236. <https://doi.org/10.3892/mco.2014.419>
227 Haslam, I. S., & Smart, E. (2019). Chemotherapy-Induced Hair Loss: The Use of Biomarkers
228 for Predicting Alopecic Severity and Treatment Efficacy. *Biomark Insights*, 14,
229 1177271919842180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1177271919842180>
230 Hosseini, S. M., Razmjoo, S., Arvandi, S. H., & Barat, T. (2015). CEA and CA 15-3 serum level
231 in metastatic breast cancer and its correlation with distant metastasis *Biomedical*
232 *and Pharmacology Journal*, 8, 721-727.
233 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/775>
234 Kabel, A. (2017). Tumor markers of breast cancer: New prospectives. *Journal of Oncological*
235 *Sciences*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jons.2017.01.001>
236 Kemenkes. (2019). Panduan Penatalaksanaan Kanker Payudara (*Breast Cancer Treatment*
237 *Guideline*). *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat* 4(4), 1-50.
238 <http://kanker.kemkes.go.id/guidelines/PPKPayudara.pdf>
239 McDonald, E. S., Clark, A. S., Tchou, J., Zhang, P., & Freedman, G. M. (2016). Clinical Diagnosis
240 and Management of Breast Cancer. *J Nucl Med*, 57 Suppl 1, 9s-16s.
241 <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.157834>
242 Rossi, A., Fortuna, M. C., Caro, G., Pranteda, G., Garelli, V., Pompili, U., & Carlesimo, M. (2017).
243 Chemotherapy-induced alopecia management: Clinical experience and practical
244 advice. *J Cosmet Dermatol*, 16(4), 537-541. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12308>
245 Singh, P., Yoon, S. S., & Kuo, B. (2016). Nausea: a review of pathophysiology and therapeutics.
246 *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*, 9(1), 98-112.
247 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1756283x15618131>
248 Yang, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, M., Meng, Q., Cai, L., & Zhang, Q. (2017). Elevation of serum CEA
249 and CA15-3 levels during antitumor therapy predicts poor therapeutic response in

- 250 advanced breast cancer patients. *Oncol Lett*, 14(6), 7549-7556.
251 <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7164>
252 Zajączkowska, R., Kocot-Kępska, M., Leppert, W., Wrzosek, A., Mika, J., & Wordliczek, J.
253 (2019). Mechanisms of Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy. *Int J Mol Sci*,
254 20(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20061451>
255

Evaluation of Single and Combination Chemotherapy Agents

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

6%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.scribd.com

Internet Source

2%

2

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

2%

3

Anam Latif, Muhammad Issa Khan, Allah Rakha, Junaid Ali Khan. " Evaluating the therapeutic potential of white button mushroom () against DMBA - induced breast cancer in Sprague Dawley rats ", Journal of Food Biochemistry, 2021

Publication

2%

4

ejournal.uin-malang.ac.id

Internet Source

2%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches < 50 words

Exclude bibliography Off