

Breast Cancer

by Godeliva Adriani Hendra

Submission date: 15-Aug-2022 01:05AM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1882665452

File name: Breast_Cancer_Article.docx (55.45K)

Word count: 2814

Character count: 16979

Evaluation of Single and Combination Chemotherapy Agents in Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer

Martanty Aditya¹, Godeliva Adriani Hendra^{1*}, Suhul Raos Kumawula Ing Gustyas¹

¹Program Studi Farmasi, Fakultas Sains dan Teknologi, Universitas Ma Chung, Indonesia
Corresponding author: godeliva.adriani@machung.ac.id

Received : ... Accepted : ... Published : ...

Abstract

Background: There are several ways to treat breast cancer, one of which is administering chemotherapy agents. Chemotherapy agents have activity in inhibiting the cell cycle. That will affect the effectiveness of therapy and the side effects of chemotherapy agents.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate single and combination chemotherapy agent therapeutic efficacy and side effects

Method: The design of this study used an observational cohort study with retrospective data collection from January to December 2019. Patients obtained from medical records were diagnosed with Ca mammae metastatic stage at Panti Nirmala Hospital, Malang. The effectiveness of chemotherapy agent therapy was seen from the CEA and CA 15-3 (examinations were carried out in the first and third cycles) and analyzed using the Wilcoxon and U-Mann Whitney tests. Side effects of chemotherapy agents were analyzed descriptively.

Results: Analysis of the Wilcoxon test showed differences between the two groups of chemotherapeutic agents in CEA and CA 15-3 ($p < 0.05$). U-Mann Whitney test analysis showed no difference after administration of the two groups of chemotherapy agents at CEA ($p > 0.05$). However, there was a difference in CA 15-3 ($p < 0.05$). The most common side effects of both chemotherapy agents were pain, nausea/vomiting, and alopecia.

Conclusion: Patients who received combination chemotherapy agents had lower CA 15-3 levels than single chemotherapy agents.

Keywords: Ca Mammae Metastatic Stage, CEA, CA 15-3, Side Effects of Chemotherapy Agents

1. Introduction

Metastatic stage breast cancer is one of the highest malignancies among other types of cancer in Indonesia and globally, with an increasing incidence (Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia, 2019; Yang et al., 2017). The implementation, metastatic breast cancer patients need to be monitored continuously to increase the survival rate. One way to assess the therapeutic effect is by measuring tumor biomarkers (McDonald, Clark, Tchou, Zhang, & Freedman, 2016; Yang et al., 2017). Reference tumor biomarkers are widely used to measure response to treatment, early recurrence, and predict prognosis. The biomarkers that are widely referenced to assess the effectiveness of therapy are carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) which is a protein involved in cell adhesion, and cancer antigen (CA) 15-3 or MUC-1, which represents the mucin sequence in cells undergoing

malignancy (Hosseini, Razmjoo, Arvandi, & Barat, 2015; Yang et al., 2017). CEA and CA 15-3 are less sensitive for early detection but can predict positive treatment response (Yang et al., 2017). Serum levels of CEA and CA 15-3 are also widely used to expect a response to therapy in metastatic breast cancer (GENG, LIANG, YE, & ZHAO, 2015).

In addition to the effectiveness of therapy, the side effects of chemotherapy agents also need to be monitored. Monitoring the side effects of chemotherapy agents aims to improve the patient's quality of life. Many reports have related to side effects induced by chemotherapeutic agents, including spinal cord suppression, neuropathy, gastrointestinal disorders, hair loss, weakness, and skin disorders (Chan & Ismail, 2014). In Indonesia, there have not been many studies that have looked at the differences in biomarkers and the frequency of possible side effects that occur with single chemotherapy agents compared to combinations. This background underlies the need for a study to determine the differences in biomarkers of CEA and CA 15-3 and the side effects that may occur when using a single agent compared to a combination.

2. Methods

The design of this study used an observational cohort study with retrospective data collection. Data collection was taken through medical records and laboratory results from January to December 2019. This research has passed the ethical test No.E.5.a/209/KEPK-UMM/VIII/2020. The location of the study at Panti Nirmala Hospital Malang was carried out in the Medical Record Unit and inpatient ward. The design of this study used an observational cohort study with retrospective data collection. Data collection was taken through medical records and laboratory results from January to December 2019. This research has passed the ethical test No.E.5.a/209/KEPK-UMM/VIII/2020. The location of the study at Panti Nirmala Hospital Malang was carried out in the Medical Record Unit and inpatient ward.

2.1 Sampling and Sampling Techniques

The population of this study were patients diagnosed with breast cancer in the metastatic stage who were hospitalized at Panti Nirmala Hospital and received chemotherapy agents. The sample of the study was breast cancer patients with metastases who entered the inclusion criteria. The sampling technique used in this study was the total sampling technique, where all population members were sampled in this study. There were 45 samples obtained in the medical record unit, 19 patients received single chemotherapy agents, and 26 patients received combination chemotherapy agents.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were in the form of metastatic breast cancer patients who received single and combination chemotherapy agents; patient data was taken from the patient medical record viewed from biomarkers Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA) and Cancer Antigen (CA) 15-3. Exclusion criteria were patients who were declared dead and patients who did not have complete laboratory data when administering chemotherapy agents.

2.2 Data Collection

The data collected in this study were in the form of patient characteristics data which included: age, education, employment status, marital status, comorbidities, and history of hormonal contraceptive use. The data on the effectiveness of therapy was seen from the biomarkers of CEA and CA 15-3. Data on side effects were seen from the use of single and combination chemotherapy agents. The single chemotherapy agents used were Carboplatin, Navelbin, Zometa/Zolenic. Combination chemotherapy agents consist of two chemotherapeutic agents and three chemotherapeutic agents. Two chemotherapeutic agents include Carboplatin+Docetaxel, Cisplatin+Docetaxel, Cisplatin+Paclitaxel. The three chemotherapy agents have Carboplatin+Docetaxel+Fluorouracil, Cyclophosphamide+Epirubicin+Fluorouracil.

2.3 Data Analysis

The data of this study were analyzed using the open-source software R. The test that looked at the relationship between chemotherapy agents and the characteristics of the respondents used the Chi-Square test. A test that compares therapeutic efficacy as measured by biomarkers CEA and CA 15-3 uses the U-Mann Whitney test. Side effect data were analyzed descriptively in the form of a percentage of events.

3 Result

Characteristics of metastatic breast cancer patients in the group receiving single or combination chemotherapy agents showed no relationship ($p>0.05$). Patient characteristics data are briefly presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Patient Characteristics Data

Characteristic	Single Chemotherapy* (n=19)	Combination Chemotherapy** (n=26)	p-value
Age (year)			
26-45	3 (15,8)	5 (19,23)	0,917
46-65	14 (73,68)	19 (73,07)	
66-85	2 (10,53)	2 (7,69)	
Mean 52 tahun			
Education			
Elementary	5(26,31)	9 (34,61)	0,844
Junior High School	0	1 (3,85)	
Senior High Scholl	6 (31,58)	7 (26,92)	
Associate Degree	3 (15,79)	3 (11,54)	
Bachelor Degree	2 (10,53)	6 (23,08)	
Master Degree	3 (15,79)	0	
Comorbidities			
Yes	6 (31,58)	6 (23,08)	0,767
No	13 (68,42)	20 (76,92)	
Occupation			
Yes	6 (31,58)	12 (46,15)	0,498
No	13 (68,42)	14 (53,85)	
Married Status			
Married	15 (78,95)	18 (69,23)	0,308
Single	0	3 (11,54)	
Divorce	4 (21,05)	5 (19,23)	
Hormonal History			
Contraception Hormonal	16 (84,21)	17 (65,38)	0,171
Contraception Non-Hormonal	1 (5,26)	7 (26,92)	
Hormonal No	2 (10,53)	2 (7,69)	
Biomarker CEA			
Normal	1 (5)	1 (3,85)	1
Abnormal	18 (95)		
Biomarker CA 15-3			
Normal	1 (5)	1 (3,85)	1
Abnormal	18 (95)	25 (96,15)	

Information:

*Carboplatin, Navelbin, Zometa/Zolenic

**Combination of two therapy agent: carboplatin+docetaxel, cisplatin+docetaxel, cisplatin+paclitaxel; combination of three therapy agent: carboplatin+docetaxel+fluorouracil, cychlophosphamide+epirubicin+fluorouracil

Patients were dominated by 46-65 years, had no comorbidities, married status, and previously used hormonal family planning. Furthermore, the analysis of differences in CEA and CA15-3 biomarkers between those receiving single and combined chemotherapy agents can be seen in Table 2. There are differences in CA15-3 biomarkers after administration of single and combination chemotherapy agents. However, there was no difference in the CEA biomarker after administering single chemotherapy agents (mean CEA = 9.42 ng/ml) and combination chemotherapy agents (mean CEA = 9.70 ng/ml).

CA15-3 levels of chemotherapeutic agents combinations had lower concentrations (mean CA15-3 = 105.60 U/ml) than single chemotherapy agents (mean CA15-3 = 129.48 U/ml).

Table 2. Different Tests of Single and Combination Chemotherapy Agents

Biomarker	W	p-value*
CEA	256	0.422
CA 15-3	325.5	0.036

The side effects data for single chemotherapy agents are represented in Table 3. The most common side effects are nausea/vomiting, alopecia, pain.

Table 3. Descriptive Data Side Effects of Single Chemotherapy Agents

Single Chemotherapy Agent (n=19 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Carboplatin 400mg inj (n=1)	Nausea/vomiting and alopecia	1 (100)
Navelbin 40mg inj (n=15)	Pain	8 (53.33)
	Extravasation	1 (6.67)
	Nauseous/vomiting	12 (80)
	Short of breath	1 (6.67)
	Alopecia	12 (80)
Zometa/Zolenic 4mg (n=3)	Pain	2 (66.67)
	Nauseous/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (33.33)

The side effects data for combination chemotherapy agents are represented in Table 4. The most common side effects are nausea/vomiting, alopecia, pain, extravasation, shortness of breath.

Table 4. Descriptive Data Side Effects of Combination Chemotherapy Agents

Combination Chemotherapy Agent (n=26 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Carboplatin 300mg inj + docetaxel 110mg inj (n=3)	Pain	2 (66.67)
	Nausea/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	3 (100)
Carboplatin 300mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=1)	Pain, nausea/vomiting, and Alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 400mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation, pain,nausea/vomiting, and alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj + docetaxel 110mg inj (n=2)	Pain, nausea/vomiting, Alopecia, and short of breath	2 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj + docetaxel 120mg inj (n=4)	Extravasation	1 (25)
	Pain	3 (75)
Cisplatin 50mg inj + docetaxel 100mg inj (n=4)	Extravasation	1 (36.36)
	Pain	2 (50)
	Nausea/vomiting	4 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (25)

Table 4. Descriptive Data Side Effects of Combination Chemotherapy Agents (Continued)

Combination Chemotherapy Agent (n=26 patients)	Side Effects	Number of Patients (%)
Carboplatin 60 mg inj + docetaxel 120 mg inj (n=3)	Pain	3 (100)
	Nausea/vomiting	3 (100)
	Alopecia	1 (50)
Cisplatin 50 mg inj + paclitaxel 200 mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation and nausea/vomiting	1 (100)
Cisplatin 60 mg inj + paclitaxel 230 mg inj (n=1)	Extravasation, nausea/vomiting and alopecia	1 (100)
Carboplatin 450mg inj, belotaxel 120 mg inj* + curacil 500 mg inj* (n=5)	Extravasation	1 (20)
	Pain	5 (100)
	Nausea/vomiting	5 (100)
	Short of breath alopecia	1 (20) 4 (80)
Cyclovid 600 mg inj*, epirubicin 500 mg inj + curacil 500 mg inj* (n=1)	Extravasation, pain, nausea/vomiting, and alopecia	1 (100)

3 Discussion

Administration of single and combined chemotherapeutic agents decreased CA 15-3 biomarkers, but not CEA. That showed that there was a significant response to the effectiveness of therapy after chemotherapy. Parameters of response to chemotherapy agents evaluated through CEA's tumor markers in metastatic breast cancer had CEA levels that increased continuously. That explained that cancer cells did not respond to treatment/relapse after getting treatment. In addition, patients with metastatic breast cancer had high CEA levels. CEA is an insensitive biomarker in breast cancer, so it cannot be used as a screening. This condition caused the need for supporting data in the form of a CA15-3 biomarker. The CA15-3 biomarker aims to determine the prognosis of metastatic breast cancer. Monitoring response to therapy on CA15-3 was seen from increased levels of CA15-3, which was associated with the high severity of breast cancer (Kabel, 2017).

In a retrospective study, serum CA15-3 correlated with the location of the number of metastases in breast cancer patients but not with CEA. CEA has a higher sensitivity in patients with metastases that spread to other organs. So, it can be concluded that in the condition of patients with metastatic breast cancer, the sensitivity of the CA15-3 biomarker is intended for serum tumor markers in the breast. In contrast, the CEA biomarker is designed for sensitivity/marker of metastases in breast cancer patients (Yang et al., 2017).

The limitation of the problem in this study was that the sampling of CEA and CA 15-3 biomarker data was only in the first and third cycles.

The most incidence of post-chemotherapy side effects was nausea/vomiting using single and combination chemotherapy agents. Nausea/vomiting caused by chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). The risk of developing CINV was higher with the short-term IV infusion route than with long-term or oral preparations. Neurotransmitters that played a role in activating CINV are 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT₂, 5-HT₃, and 5-HT₄), dopamine (D₂), histamine (H₁), and acetylcholine (Ach). Receptors of the neurotransmitter 5-HT and dopamine were abundant in the intestinal mucosa and activated when the neurotransmitter is released, causing nausea and vomiting. Chemotherapy agents given to patients will also quickly bind to the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) in the brain close to the vomiting center (vomiting center), resulting in nausea and vomiting (Antonarakis & Hain, 2004; Singh, Yoon, & Kuo, 2016).

The most common side effect was alopecia/chemotherapy-induced alopecia (CIA) (Rossi et al., 2017). The incidence of alopecia was associated with the type of chemotherapeutic agent used in this study where 80% of the incidence occurred in the antimicrotubule group (Vinorelbin, Paclitaxel, Docetaxel, Epirubicin), 60% in alkylators (carboplatin and cisplatin), 10-50% in antimetabolites (fluorouracil), 60-100% on topoisomerase. Alopecia caused by the main target of chemotherapeutic agents was the keratinocyte matrix which proliferates during the anagen/hair formation phase. The keratinocyte matrix is sensitive to chemotherapeutic agents and causes rapid apoptosis, which affects the anagen phase, namely hair follicle growth, and causes baldness (Haslam & Smart, 2019). However, this baldness is not permanent, where within six months, the hair growth will occur again (Rossi et al., 2017).

The subsequent most common side effect was a painful condition, often referred to as chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). Peripheral neuropathy induced by platinum-based drugs (carboplatin and cisplatin) causes glial cell activation for an increase in inflammatory pro-cytokines that increase nociceptor sensitivity and hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons and, together with ROS (reactive oxygen species), damage the blood-brain barrier and lead to the development of neuroinflammation, damage mitochondria increase ROS which causes damage to enzymes, proteins and lipids in neurons as well as dysregulation of calcium homeostasis which induces apoptotic changes in peripheral nerves. Peripheral neuropathy in using antimicrotubule (Vinorelbin, Paclitaxel, Docetaxel, Epirubicin) interferes with axonal transport. It causes degeneration of distal nerve segments, alters ion channel activity and hyperexcitability of peripheral neurons, modifies the expression and function of Na⁺, K⁺ ion channels. Activation of microglia leads to the release and increase of pro-inflammatory cytokines. This process

leads to nociceptor sensitivity and the development of neuroinflammation (Zajaczkowska et al., 2019).

4 Conclusion

The achievement in this study was that the CA15-3 biomarker in combination chemotherapy agents has lower levels than single chemotherapy agents. However, CEA levels did not give a significant change in single and combined agents. The most side effects in both groups of chemotherapy agents were nausea/vomiting, alopecia, pain.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Panti Nirmala Hospital Malang for assisting the research administration process, Mrs. Suhul Raos, who had helped complete the research data, and Mrs. Martanty Aditya, who had assisted in preparing this article.

References

Antonarakis, E. S., & Hain, R. D. W. (2004). Nausea and vomiting associated with cancer chemotherapy: Drug management in theory and in practice. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 89(9), 877–880. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2003.037341>

Chan, H. K., & Ismail, S. (2014). Side effects of chemotherapy among cancer patients in a Malaysian general hospital: Experiences, perceptions and informational needs from clinical pharmacists. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 15(13), 5305–5309. <https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.13.5305>

GENG, B., LIANG, M.-M., YE, X.-B., & ZHAO, W.-Y. (2015). Association of CA 15-3 and CEA with clinicopathological parameters in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Molecular and Clinical Oncology*, 3(1), 232–236. <https://doi.org/10.3892/mco.2014.419>

Haslam, I. S., & Smart, E. (2019). Chemotherapy-Induced Hair Loss: The Use of Biomarkers for Predicting Alopecic Severity and Treatment Efficacy. *Biomarker Insights*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1177271919842180>

Hosseini, S. M., Razmjoo, S., Arvandi, S. H., & Barat, T. (2015). CEA and CA 15-3 serum level in metastatic breast cancer and its correlation with distant metastasis. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, 8SE, 721–727. <https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/775>

Kabel, A. M. (2017). Tumor markers of breast cancer: New perspectives. *Journal of Oncological Sciences*, 3(1), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jons.2017.01.001>

Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2019). Panduan Penatalaksanaan Kanker Payudara (Breast Cancer Treatment Guideline). *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat*, 4(4), 1–50. Retrieved from <http://kanker.kemkes.go.id/guidelines/PPKPayudara.pdf>

McDonald, E. S., Clark, A. S., Tchou, J., Zhang, P., & Freedman, G. M. (2016). Clinical

diagnosis and management of breast cancer. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 57, 9S-16S. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.157834>

Rossi, A., Fortuna, M., Caro, G., Pranteda, G., Garelli, V., Pompili, U., & Carlesimo, M. (2017). Chemotherapy-induced alopecia management: clinical experience and practical advice. *J Cosmet Dermatol*, 16(4), 537-541. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12308>. Chemotherapy-induced

³
Singh, P., Yoon, S. S., & Kuo, B. (2016). Nausea: A review of pathophysiology and therapeutics. *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*, 9(1), 98-112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1756283X15618131>

²
Yang, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, M., Meng, Q., Cai, L., & Zhang, Q. (2017). Elevation of serum CEA and CA15-3 levels during antitumor therapy predicts poor therapeutic response in advanced breast cancer patients. *Oncology Letters*, 14(6), 7549-7556. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7164>

Zajaczkowska, R., Kocot-Kępska, M., Leppert, W., Wrzosek, A., Mika, J., & Wordliczek, J. (2019). Mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20061451>

Breast Cancer

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%

SIMILARITY INDEX

9%

INTERNET SOURCES

7%

PUBLICATIONS

12%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- | | | |
|---|--|----|
| 1 | Submitted to Universitas Mahasaraswati
Denpasar
Student Paper | 3% |
| 2 | Anam Latif, Muhammad Issa Khan, Allah Rakha, Junaid Ali Khan. " Evaluating the therapeutic potential of white button mushroom () against DMBA - induced breast cancer in Sprague Dawley rats ", Journal of Food Biochemistry, 2021
Publication | 2% |
| 3 | Submitted to Sheffield Hallam University
Student Paper | 2% |
| 4 | www.mdpi.com
Internet Source | 2% |
-

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches < 50 words

Breast Cancer

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9
